Measurement of the Atmospheric Muon Spectrum from 20 to 3000 GeV Pedro Ladron de Guevara on behalf of the L3 Collaboration

# XXX REUNION BIENAL DE LA R.S.E.F.

**Ourense, 12-16 Septiembre 2005** 



Use the measured flux of muons to constrain hadronic interactions and atmospheric neutrino flux



## Location: 6.02° E, 46.25° N

Altitude: 450 m, 30 m underground

Device to measure the<br/>momentum<br/>charge and<br/>angle of<br/>muons created in air showhers

## **Detector:**

Scintillator Tiles Outer Cooling Circuit  $t_0$ - detector (202 m<sup>2</sup> scint.) Barrel Yoke Inner Cooling Circuit Main Coll Muon Detector Magnet (0.5 T, 1000 m<sup>3</sup>) Crown **BGO** Calorimeter **High precision drift chambers** 0001 Silicon Detector Hadron Calorimeter **Trigger and DAQ :** Vertex Detector independent of L3 **GPS timing :** (event time up to 1 µsec)









matrix of detector efficiencies function of momentum at the detector level matrix of geometrical acceptances function of the surface momentum

## Statistical and systematic uncertainties

## (momentum scale)

d∕d <sub>10</sub> -1<sup>,1</sup> **Relative** uncertainties of the vertical total zenith angle bin measurements 10 magne energy loss The individual contributions 10<sup>-3</sup>) nolas are added in quadrature.  $10^{\overline{3}}$  $10^{2}$ p [GeV]

## Statistical and systematic uncertainties (µ flux)



## **Statistical and systematic uncertainties** (ch. ratio)



## **Total uncertainty:**

**Obtained by adding the different sources in quadrature.** 

Muon flux uncertainty: dominated by :

\*The uncertainty of molasse overburden at low momenta. \*The alignment and resolution uncertainty at high momenta. Minimum is 2.3 % at 150 GeV in the vertical direction.

Vertical charge ratio uncertainty:

Below 2 % up to 100 GeV Above 100 GeV, rises rapidily with the alignment uncertainties. Analize the LEP events :

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ 



## Momentum distribution of the events $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$

+ background

The Monte Carlo events are normalized to the LEP luminosity

The arrow indicates the low-momentum cut (60% of the beam energy)



The fluxes are neither corrected for the altitude of L3+C nor for the atmospheric profile to avoid additional theoretical uncertainties.

Instead, we quote the average atmospheric mass overburden X above L3+C, which was continously measured with balloon flights from close to the experiment to altitudes of over 30 Km, and parametrized as :

 $X(h) = \begin{cases} A(h_{b}-h)^{(\alpha-1)}, & h < 11 \text{ Km} \\ B e^{(-h/h_{0})}, & h > 11 \text{ Km} \end{cases}$ 

### Fit to the data yields:

A= 8.078 x 10 -5 B = 1332 h<sub>b</sub> = 39.17 h<sub>0</sub> = 6.370  $\alpha$  = 3.461

### Measured $\mu$ flux for zenith angles from 0<sup>°</sup> (bottom) to 58<sup>°</sup> (top)



### Measured $\mu^+/\mu^-$ charge ratio for zenith angles from 0<sup>0</sup> (bottom) to 58<sup>0</sup> (top)







## Vertical µ flux:

\* Comparison with low energy experiments gives good overall agreement above 40-50 GeV

\* At lower momenta a systematic slope difference seems to be present. Probably due to the systematic molasse uncertainty

 $\mu$ +/ $\mu$ - ratio:

\*The limit is **500 GeV** due to the alignment uncertainties.

\*In the considered range and within the experimental uncertainties, it is independent of momentum.

\*Mean value in vertical direction: 1.285 + - 0.003(stat.) + - 0.019 (syst.) (chi2/ndf=9.5/11.) to be compared with 1.270 + - 0.003(stat.) + - 0.015 (syst.) (average of all previous measurements) (Ref [3] )

Worth noting that the precision of the data of a single L3+C zenith angle bin is comparable to the combined uncertainty of all data collected in the past.





See Ref [7]

The conection of the atmospheric muon flux and neutrino flux.

- \*  $\mu$  flux is a good calibration source of the atmospheric  $\nu$  flux
- \* Honda (Ref [4]) has presented to the 29th ICRC,(Pune,2005) the comparison of the last measured fluxes from BESS TeV (99) BESS (2002) and L3+C with the HKKM04 model.
- \* The ratio between measured fluxes and model show:
  - Agreement of < 5% in the 1-30 GeV region.
  - Larger disagreement out of this interval.
- \* Honda et al. modified the DPMJET-III interaction model (differential cross sections of secondary mesons production) (only BESS data used under 50 GeV and all data for >50 GeV)
  - \* Better agreement in 1-300 GeV range obtained for  $\mu$  fluxes
  - \* v fluxes more reliable than that of HKKM04 in wider range.



Figure 2. The comparison between calculated much this with DPMHOP III and observed date. The total flux is compared in fe0 parel taking the ratio, and the charge to is in right name'.



Figure 3. The comparing between calculated muon flux with the machined interaction model and observed data. The roral flux is compared in left purel taking the runic, and the charge ratio in right manel.

mum flux ensured by  $1.540^\circ$  in  $\lesssim 500$  GeV is Figlier that by BD8S. We used the BESS data in this energy region, since they are not sufficient from the recitaging material.

In Fig. 5, the mann fusce enter and with the multiple inconction model are corresponding the observed data, showing a better agreements in = -800 GeV/a. Note, we have used the antipersity structure measures by the inclusion nodel for Tsukub, and Norikery. Then the calculated mann fluxes random with the medicide corresponding in GeV region.

#### 4. Summary

We have studied the muon flux with the calculation code used in HKKM04, and find that the uses tainties of the amosphesic neutrino fluxes are abound 10 % for the absolute values in 1 – 10 GeV/c. Some modifications are accessary for DPMJET-III, since the model fails to reproduce the observed, muon flux at higher energies. Applying a modification to DPMJET-III, the agreement of calculation and data for the muon flux becomes

## Conclusions

Using the L3+Cosmics µ detector (6.02<sup>0</sup> E, 46.25<sup>0</sup> N) we have determined:

- 1-the atmospheric  $\mu$  spectrum from 20 GeV to 3 TeV as a funtion of 8 zenith angles ranging from 0<sup>0</sup> to 60<sup>0</sup> with good overall agreement with previous data above 40-50 GeV for the vertical flux .
- 2- the ratio μ+/μ- from 20 GeV to 500 GeV for the same zenith angles as above.
  The mean value in the vertical direction is in perfect agreement with the average of all the previous data.
- 3- L3+Cosmics has extended the knowledge of the  $\mu$  momentum spectrum by a factor ~10 in the momentum range.
- 4- The precision of the data of a single L3+C zenith angle bin is comparable to the combined uncertainty of all data collected in the past.
- 5- The interest of L3+C data to constrain the MC  $\pi$ ,K p-A production models and the atmospheric v flux calculations as a consequence, is pointed out.

## Outlook

ALICE, the heavy ions collisions dedicated detector in LHC (located in the same cavern and magnet than L3+C) will implement a t0 detector based on plastic scintillators for the trigger and will use the TPC,TOF and TRD detectors to detect the atmospheric muons. (ACORDE)

The L3+C pioneer work will then be continued with better statistics for several years.

#### References

- [1] L3+C Collaboration. Adriani et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods A488 (2002) 209.
- [2] Measurement of the atmospheric spectrum from 20 to 3000 GeV. Phys. Letters B598 (2004) 15-32
- [3] T.Hebbeker, C. Timmermans., Astropart. Phys. 18 (2002) 107.
- [4] T. Sanuki et al., Atmospheric neutrino and muon fluxes. 29 ICRC, Pune (2005) 00,101-104.
- [5] ACORDE, A Cosmic Ray Detector for ALICE. J. Arteaga et al., 29 ICRC, Pune (2005) 00,101-104
- **[6]** Experiments providing an absolute normalization:

Kiel71	: O.C. Allkofer et al., Phys. Lett. B36 (1971) 425.
<b>MARS75</b>	: C.A. Ayre et al., J. Phys. G 1 (1975) 584.
<b>AHM79</b>	: P.J. Green et al., Phys. Rev. D 20(1979) 1598.
CAPRICE	: J. Kremer et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 4241.
CAPRICE	: M. Bozio et al., Phys. Rev. D67 (2003) 072003.
BESS	: T. Sanuki et al., Phys. Lett. B541 (2002) 234.
BESS	: T.Sanuki et al., Phys. Lett. B581 (2004) 272, Erratum.
<b>BESS-TeV0</b>	2 : S. Haino et al., astro-ph/0403704
<b>MASS93</b>	: M.P. De Pascuale et al., J. Geophys. Res. 98 (1993) 3501.

#### [7] MonteCarlo models:

- SIBYLL2.1 : R.S. Fletcher et al., Phys. Rev. D50 (1994) 5710.
- BARTOL96 : Phys. Rev. D53 (1996) 1314.
- **QGSJET01** : **R. Engel et al., Proc. 26**<sup>th</sup> **ICRC(1999)** 415.
- **TARGET2.1** : **R. Engel et al., Proc. 27th ICRC(2001) 1381.**
- DPMJET-III : S. Roesler et al., Proc. 27<sup>th</sup> ICRC, Hamburg, 1, 439 (2001); Phys. Rev. D 57, 2889 (1998)
- CORT : G. Fiorentini et al., Phys. Lett. B 510 (2001) 173;hep-ph/0103322 v2 27/April/2001
- HKKM04 : M. Honda et al., Phys. Rev. D70: 043008 (2004)

## **End of presentation**

Thank you !!

# **Extra transparencies**

### The transformation matrix **D** = **E**.**R**.**A**

R: migration matrix : The conditional probability of measuring a momentum q p i given a surface momentum q p i

A: diagonal matrix of geometrical acceptance as a function of the surface momentum.

E: diagonal matrix of detector efficiencies as a function of momentum at detector level.

$$\mathbf{D}_{ij} = \varepsilon_i r_i S_{MC} (\mathbf{n}^{sel}_{ij} / N^{gen}_j)_{MC} \Delta \Omega$$

 $S_{MC}$ : Surface area used in the MonteCarlo generator.

 $\Delta \Omega$ : Solid angle of the zenith bin under study.

E<sub>i</sub>: Includes the scintillator and trigger efficiency.

**r**<sub>i</sub> : Selection efficiency correction.

**n**<sup>sel</sup>ij: The number of selected MonteCarlo events found within a detector-level momentum bin i, wich were generated within the momentum bin j at the surface.

N<sup>gen</sup><sub>j</sub>: Total number of MonteCarlo events generated within this surface momentum bin.



MC study on the neutrino flux constraint. For each interaction model two different primary fluxes are used, as indicated by the two curves per model.

(From Michael Unger PhD thesis, Humboldt-University, Berlin, 9/February/2004)

### Some characteristics of the different referenced experiments

- Kiel : Solid iron magnet with spark chambers and scintillator counters. Location: Kiel (Germany) 54<sup>0</sup> N, 10<sup>0</sup> E Altitude : 10 m. asl
- MARS : Solid iron magnet + scintillators + flash tubes. Location: Durham (Great Britain) 54<sup>0</sup> N, 1<sup>0</sup> W Altitude : 70 m. asl
- AMH : 2 solid iron magnets + spark chambers +scintillators. Location: Huston (USA) 29<sup>0</sup> N, 95<sup>0</sup> W Altitude : 10 m. asl
- CAPRICE 94 : 4T superconducting magnet spectrometer (balloon) with proportional and drift chambers, particle identification achieved via silicon-tungsten calorimeter, RICH and scintillator TOF system. Location: Lynn Lake (Canada) 56.5<sup>0</sup> N, 101.0<sup>0</sup> W Altitude : 360 m. asl (1000 g/cm<sup>2</sup>) Data: July 19-20, 1994.
- CAPRICE 97 : Location: Fort Sumner (USA) 34.3<sup>0</sup> N, 104.1<sup>0</sup> W Altitude : 1270 m. asl (887 g/cm2) (balloon) Data : April 26- May 2, 1997
- MASS : Superconducting magnet spectrometer (balloon) Location: Prince Albert (Canada) 530 N, 1060 W Altitude: 600 m. asl
- BESS : Balloon Borne Experiment with a Superconducting Spectrometer Altitude: 1030 g/cm<sup>2</sup> (1995) , 5-30 g/cm<sup>2</sup> (2001)

### **Interaction models**

**TARGET2.1**: Phenomenological model, based on the parametrization of accelerator data which are extrapolated to the full phase space, energies and target nuclei needed in atmospheric air showers. Calculations of the neutrino flux based on this model are extensively used to interpret the data of atmospheric neutrino detectors.

**QGSJET01** and **SIBYLL2.1** are microscopic models, which predict the hadronic interactions from first principles and consequently have a much smaller number of free parameters as TARGET.

\* For large momentum transfers between the projectile and target nucleus, the well tested perturbative QCD theory is applicable.

\* For momentum transfers below a few GeV,

-the hadronic interactions are modeled based on the Grivob-Regge theory in case of QGSJET01 -whereas they are described by the production of colored strings in SIBYLL2.1

As no low energy model other than TARGET is implemented in the current version of the transport code all interactions below a laboratory energy of 100 GeV are handled by this model.



### Efficiencies

#### Scintillator efficiencies:

In 50 % of the cases, the muon arrival time is also deduced from the muon chambers. These tracks are used to determine the scintillator efficiencies (95.6 % -> 94.5 %)

### **Drift cell efficiency:**

The possibility of reconstructing a muon within a single octant is used to scan the drift-layer performance of the facing octant. (10% of drift cells with efficiency < 80%)

#### **Total effective running time:**

Live-time counter and external trigger signals agreed within 0.02 %

#### **Selection efficiency :**

Efficiencies  $\epsilon 1, \epsilon 2$  in two opposite hemisferes , are computed for data and MC and compared by means of

 $r = (\epsilon 1 x \epsilon 2)^{data} / (\epsilon 1 x \epsilon 2)^{MC}$  (function of charge, momentum and zenith)

**8 %** inefficiency for the full track selection.

### **Systematic uncertainties**

### Normalization uncertainties:

### Live-time + trigger + scintillator

Uncertainties on the efficiencies of the above give rise to a normalization uncertainty of **0.7** %

#### **Detector acceptance**

Uncertainty on detector acceptance is assessed by means of 3 methods:

Comparison of the independent data from 1999 and 2000

Muon flux and charge ratio measured as a function of the azimuthal angle, at large momentum. Stability of the measured flux and charge ratio with respect to the variation of the selection criteria.

Absolute flux addicional normalization uncertainty :1.7 % -> 3.7 % (depending on the zenith angle) Charge ratio addicional normalization uncertainty : 1.0 % -> 2.3 %

### Momentum scale uncertainties:

Magnetic field strenght : momentum scale bias < 0.4 %

**Uncertainties on the detector alignment :** may induce a constant offset (relative alignment of the muon chamber octants determined with precision 0.075 -> 0.152 TeV -1

Molasse overburden: Uncertainty on the average rock density of 2 % -> energy loss uncertainty of 0.4 GeV (in vertical direction) **Detector matrix uncertainty:** 

Mainly due to statistical uncertainty (MC and data)

**Total uncertainty:** 

**Obtained by adding the different sources in quadrature.** 

Muon flux uncertainty: dominated by :

\*The uncertainty of molasse overburden at low momenta. \*The alignment and resolution uncertainty at high momenta. Minimum is 2.3 % at 150 GeV in the vertical direction.

Vertical charge ratio uncertainty:

Below 2 % up to 100 GeV Above 100 GeV, rises rapidily with the alignment uncertainties. Location: 6.02° E, 46.25° N Altitude: 450 m, 30 m underground

L3+C

Device to measure the momentum charge and angle of muons created in air showhers

## **Detector:**

t<sub>0</sub>- detector (202 m<sup>2</sup> scint.) \_\_\_\_ Magnet (0.5 T, 1000 m<sup>3</sup>) \_\_\_\_ High precision drift chambers





Figure 4: Relative rate change with time for muon momenta between 50 and 62 GeV, compared to a prediction of the atmospheric effect obtained with the TARGET air-shower simulation. The value of a  $\chi^2$  comparison of data and Monte Carlo is also shown.