Production of Z Pairs at LEP2 and Neutral Anomalous Couplings

Begoña de la Cruz

CIEMAT (Madrid)

representing the LEP collaborations

Aleph, Delphi, L3, Opal

Les Rencontres de la Physique de la Vallée d`Aosta

La Thuile, March 3-9th 2002

Summary







- Theoretical Motivation for ZZ Study
- Experimental data sample
- Results (by experiment, LEP combined)
- Neutral Anomalous Couplings
- Conclusions

B. de la Cruz
CIEMAT (Madrid)

Theoretical Motivation

- Study of SM in the gauge neutral boson sector .
- Test of physics beyond SM (anomalous couplings, extra dimensions, new particles,…)
- Irreducible background for Higgs searches (in particular $m_H \sim m_Z$)

Experimentally, search for ZZ production competes with other more copious proccesses.

At LEP, ZZ seen coming alive.



B. de la Cruz
CIEMAT (Madrid)

ZZ production



- t-channel exchange.
- no s-channel in SM.
- NC08-NC02 diagrams (Z→ γ) are background.
- LEP combination definition (not L3, e.g.)

Standard Model Proccess

Signal Definition: Neutral Current 02 diagrams (NC02)



New physics (may affect SM ZZ production)

Anomalous couplings, extra dimensions, Higgs exchange

B. de la Cruz
CIEMAT (Madrid)

LEP Experimental Data

LEP experimental data sample amounts to ~660 pb^{-1} per experiment, taken during 1997 - 2000, at $\sqrt{s}$ = 183, 189, 192, 196, 200, 202, 205, 207 GeV.



Remark: Results from data at $\sqrt{s}$ > 202 GeV are preliminary for all 4 LEP experiments.

LEP combined results are those presented for summer conferences. Delphi has updated preliminary results since then.

B. de la Cruz
CIEMAT (Madrid)

ZZ decays and Final States

Decay Channel	Br (%)	Effic (%)	Bkgd.	Signature
qqqq	49	30 – 40	WW, qqγ	4 jets, No energy imbalance
bbqq	20	20	WW, qqγ	4 jets, No energy imbalance, b-tagging
qqll l=(e, μ, τ)	14	60	Zee, Zγ, WW → qqlν	2 jets + 2 leptons
qqνν	28	40	Weν, WW → qqlν, qqγ	2 jets, $E_{missing}$
llνν l=(e, μ)	2.7	40	WW → lνlν, rad. Bhabha	2 leptons, $E_{missing}$
llll	1	50	Non reson. 4f	4 leptons
ττνν	1.3			
νννν	4			

Hermeticity of detectors allows reconstruction of Z 4-momentum.

B. de la Cruz
CIEMAT (Madrid)

Aleph: $ZZ \rightarrow bbqq$ at $\sqrt{s} = 196$ GeV



B. de la Cruz
CIEMAT (Madrid)

L3: $ZZ \rightarrow qq\mu\mu$

$M_{qq} = M_{\mu\mu} = M_{fit} =$ 92.3 GeV



B. de la Cruz
CIEMAT (Madrid)

Opal: $ZZ \rightarrow qq\nu\nu$ at $\sqrt{s}$ = 205 GeV



M_{vis} = 92.6 GeV

M_{rec} = 90.4 GeV

B. de la Cruz
CIEMAT (Madrid)

Delphi: $ZZ \rightarrow llll$ at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV



$ZZ \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\mu^+\mu^-$



B. de la Cruz
CIEMAT (Madrid)

Cross section for ZZ production

Determination of σ_{ZZ} from a global likelihood fit, including all channels,

- Binned discriminant variable (qqqq, qqνν)
- Poisson probabilities of number of events observed and expected (qqll, llll, llνν)





New





B. de la Cruz
CIEMAT (Madrid)

Cross section for ZZ production
LEP Combination

σ_{ZZ} from each experiment combined using symmetrized expected statistical errors

Systematic errors:

Correlated: 0.01-0.07 pb

- Uncertainty on backgrounds (qq, WW, Zee, Weν),
- Uncertainty on b-quark modelling.

Uncorrelated:

- Detector,
- Selection procedures (jet kine., lepton id effic.,…)
- Limited MC statistics,...

Very good agreement with SM!



B. de la Cruz
CIEMAT (Madrid)

Results from ZZ production

Z Production polar angle, θ





Br (Z→ bb) determination

0.196 ± 0.032

← Lep1 result:

0.151 ± 0.001

B. de la Cruz
CIEMAT (Madrid)

Neutral Anomalous Couplings



Couplings are zero are tree level in SM; at 1 loop, ~10^{-4} (below experimental sensitivity).

New physics may modify SM rates.

Possible deviations from SM can be quantified in terms of an effective Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2}\left(\sum_i h_i O_i + f_i O_i'\right)$$

Vertex parametrization: Lorentz, gauge $U(1)_{em}$ invariance, Bose symmetry

$$\Gamma^{\alpha\beta\mu}_{ZZV}(q_1,q_2,P) = \frac{i(P^2-m_V^2)}{m_Z^2}\left[f_4^V(P^\alpha g^{\mu\beta}+P^\beta g^{\mu\alpha}) - f_5^V \epsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\rho}(q_1-q_2)_\rho\right]$$

$$\Gamma^{\alpha\beta\mu}_{Z\gamma V}(q_1,q_2,P) = \frac{i(P^2-m_V^2)}{m_Z^2}\left\{h_1^V(q_2^\mu g^{\alpha\beta}-q_2^\alpha g^{\mu\beta}) + \frac{h_2^V}{m_Z^2}P^\alpha[(Pq_2)g^{\mu\beta}-q_2^\mu P^\beta]\right\}$$
$$-\left\{h_3^V \epsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\rho}q_{2\rho} - \frac{h_4^V}{m_Z^2}P^\alpha \epsilon^{\mu\beta\rho\sigma}P_\rho q_{2\sigma}\right\}$$

B. de la Cruz
CIEMAT (Madrid)

Neutral Anomalous Couplings



2 independent sets of neutral couplings, depending on final state vector bosons:

Final State	Coupling	Parameter (V=Z, γ)
ZZ	ZZ*Z	f_4^V, f_5^V
	Zγ*Z	
Zγ	ZZ*γ	h_1^V, h_2^V, h_3^V, h_4^V
	Zγ*γ	

f_4, h_1, h_2 : CP violating, no interference with SM
f_5, h_3, h_4 : CP conserving, interfere with SM

B. de la Cruz
CIEMAT (Madrid)

Neutral Anomalous Couplings Signatures

Change in polar angle distribution of Z boson





σ_{ZZ} Enhancement

$f_4^{ZZ\gamma} = 1.0$ $f_5^{ZZ\gamma} = 1.0$

$f_4^{ZZZ} = 1.0$ $f_5^{ZZZ} = 1.0$

B. de la Cruz
CIEMAT (Madrid)

Neutral Anomalous Couplings Limits

Measured ZZ production agrees with SM $\Rightarrow$ no evidence of AC, but set limits on them.

1-d fit

Parameter	95 (%) CL Limits
f_4^γ	[-0.17, +0.19]
f_4^Z	[-0.31, +0.28]
f_5^γ	[-0.36, +0.40]
f_5^Z	[-0.36, +0.39]

1 parameter different from zero at a time.



B. de la Cruz
CIEMAT (Madrid)

Neutral Anomalous Couplings Limits





2-d fits

2 parameters different from zero at a time.

Parameter	95 (%) CL Limits	Correlations
f_4^γ	[-0.17, +0.19]	1.00 +0.10
f_4^Z	[-0.30, +0.28]	+0.10 1.00
f_5^γ	[-0.34, +0.38]	1.00 -0.18
f_5^Z	[-0.36, +0.38]	-0.18 1.00

B. de la Cruz
CIEMAT (Madrid)

Conclusions

The Standard Model has proved, once more, to work very satisfactorily

- σ_{ZZ} measured agrees with expected,
- no ZZZ, ZZγ anomalous couplings observed, set limits ($|f| \sim 0.3$)

LEP2 has shown to be consistent with LEP1

- Z pair production depends on properties measured at LEP1 ➔

  Br($Z \rightarrow bb$)

B. de la Cruz
CIEMAT (Madrid)